wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report

Mar 8, 2026 – 12:36 PM UTC

PDB ID	:	2LGG / pdb_00002lgg
BMRB ID	:	17808
Title	:	Structure of PHD domain of UHRF1 in complex with H3 peptide
Authors	:	Wang, C.; Shen, J.; Yang, Z.; Chen, P.; Zhao, B.; Hu, W.; Lan, W.; Tong, X.; Wu, H.; Li, G.; Cao, C.
Deposited on	:	2011-07-26

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at
http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references ⓘ) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4-5-2 with Phenix2.0
Percentile statistics	:	20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.49

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 77%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424
Ramachandran outliers	224038	12848
Sidechain outliers	223484	12823

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	69	35% 48% 12% 6%
2	B	12	17% 67% 17%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 12 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:315-A:379, B:383-B:392 (75)	1.74	12

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
2	1, 2, 7, 9, 20
3	3, 19
4	10, 18
Single-model clusters	17

3 Entry composition (i)

There are 3 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1215 atoms, of which 583 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase UHRF1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	69	1020	323	482	95	107	13	0

- Molecule 2 is a protein called histone H3 peptide.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	
2	B	12	192	52	101	21	18	0

- Molecule 3 is ZINC ION (CCD ID: ZN) (formula: Zn).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms	
			Total	Zn
3	A	3	3	3

4 Residue-property plots


4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase UHRF1

Chain A:









• Molecule 2: histone H3 peptide

Chain B:





4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 12. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase UHRF1

Chain A:






• Molecule 2: histone H3 peptide

Chain B:





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing.*

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR	structure solution	
X-PLOR	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	801
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	801
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	77%

6 Model quality

6.1 Standard geometry

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ZN

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z| > 5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	1.44±0.01	0±0/527 (0.0± 0.0%)	1.30±0.02	1±1/711 (0.1± 0.1%)
2	B	1.46±0.02	0±0/79 (0.0± 0.0%)	1.20±0.05	0±0/104 (0.0± 0.0%)
All	All	1.45	0/12120 (0.0%)	1.29	16/16300 (0.1%)

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(^{o})	Ideal(^{o})	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	364	SER	CB-CA-C	-5.74	109.42	117.23	16	1
1	A	330	ALA	CA-C-O	5.53	121.15	117.94	20	1
1	A	353	PHE	CA-CB-CG	-5.51	108.28	113.80	1	13
1	A	330	ALA	CB-CA-C	-5.03	109.83	117.07	20	1

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	515	460	458	39±8
2	B	79	91	88	8±3
All	All	11940	11020	10920	829

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 36.

5 of 372 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:345:MET:SD	1:A:352:ALA:HB2	0.83	2.13	8	1
1:A:344:LEU:HD21	2:B:383:ALA:N	0.83	1.88	6	1
1:A:330:ALA:CA	2:B:386:LYS:HZ3	0.80	1.89	15	1
1:A:355:ILE:C	1:A:355:ILE:HD12	0.77	2.05	12	4
1:A:344:LEU:HD11	1:A:366:PRO:CD	0.71	2.15	1	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	64/69 (93%)	52±2 (82±3%)	9±2 (14±4%)	3±1 (4±2%)	3	28
2	B	9/12 (75%)	8±1 (84±8%)	1±1 (11±9%)	0±1 (6±7%)	2	21
All	All	1460/1620 (90%)	1195 (82%)	200 (14%)	65 (4%)	3	27

5 of 22 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	320	ASP	11
1	A	363	SER	10
1	A	361	PRO	6
1	A	339	ASP	5
1	A	370	GLU	4

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	61/64 (95%)	52±1 (86±2%)	9±1 (14±2%)	5 43
2	B	8/9 (89%)	7±1 (91±10%)	1±1 (9±10%)	10 56
All	All	1380/1460 (95%)	1189 (86%)	191 (14%)	6 45

5 of 30 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	371	TRP	19
1	A	354	HIS	18
1	A	318	CYS	16
1	A	331	CYS	16
1	A	325	LEU	12

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 3 ligands modelled in this entry, 3 are monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 77% for the well-defined parts and 77% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	801
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	801
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	5

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	67	-0.71 ± 0.37	None needed (imprecise)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	65	0.35 ± 0.27	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	59	-0.25 ± 0.30	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
^{15}N	62	-0.33 ± 0.41	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 77%, i.e. 756 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 978. 0 out of 8 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	322/367 (88%)	144/147 (98%)	119/150 (79%)	59/70 (84%)
Sidechain	404/548 (74%)	297/349 (85%)	103/171 (60%)	4/28 (14%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Aromatic	30/63 (48%)	18/31 (58%)	12/26 (46%)	0/6 (0%)
Overall	756/978 (77%)	459/527 (87%)	234/347 (67%)	63/104 (61%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, *ppm*	Expected range, *ppm*	Z-score
1	A	377	ARG	NH2	114.33	57.68 – 87.89	13.8
1	A	377	ARG	HH22	9.18	5.04 – 8.54	6.8
1	A	377	ARG	HH12	9.04	5.04 – 8.65	6.1
1	A	377	ARG	HH21	9.18	4.81 – 8.80	6.0
1	A	354	HIS	CD2	137.81	103.95 – 136.66	5.3


7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:


RCI
0.14
0.12
0.10
0.08
0.06
0.04
0.02
0.00
383:ALA
384:ARG
385:THR
386:LYS
387:GLN
388:THR
389:ALA
390:ARG
391:LYS
392:SER
393:THR
Auth_seq_ID

8 NMR restraints analysis

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	953
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	320
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	323
Medium range (|i-j|>1 and |i-j|<5)	140
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	153
Inter-chain	17
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	48
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	11.9
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	1.8

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å
are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	16.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	2.4	0.48
>0.5 (Large)	3.5	1.93

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	7.0	4.33
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None

9 Distance violation analysis (i)


9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	320	33.6	20	6.2	2.1	2	0.6	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	53	5.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	196	20.6	20	10.2	2.1	2	1.0	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	71	7.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	323	33.9	21	6.5	2.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	126	13.2	3	2.4	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	162	17.0	12	7.4	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	35	3.7	6	17.1	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range (|i-j|>1 & |i-j|<5)	140	14.7	25	17.9	2.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	45	4.7	9	20.0	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	55	5.8	7	12.7	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	40	4.2	9	22.5	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	153	16.1	14	9.2	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	17	1.8	2	11.8	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	64	6.7	6	9.4	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	72	7.6	6	8.3	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	17	1.8	7	41.2	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	4	0.4	1	25.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	12	1.3	6	50.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	953	100.0	87	9.1	9.1	2	0.2	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	242	25.4	14	5.8	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	481	50.5	46	9.6	4.8	2	0.4	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	230	24.1	27	11.7	2.8	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å
are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
1	7	8	7	3	3	28	0.15	0.23	0.03	0.15
2	6	4	6	1	2	19	0.3	1.35	0.34	0.16
3	7	3	7	2	2	21	0.33	1.44	0.41	0.15
4	7	7	4	1	2	21	0.2	1.23	0.24	0.14
5	6	7	9	1	3	26	0.29	1.23	0.34	0.16
6	7	9	7	2	3	28	0.21	1.01	0.21	0.13
7	9	4	9	2	2	26	0.31	1.31	0.36	0.16
8	7	5	8	2	2	24	0.34	1.42	0.4	0.16
9	6	3	7	1	1	18	0.3	1.3	0.31	0.16
10	2	6	7	2	2	19	0.39	1.76	0.49	0.17


Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
11	6	5	9	2	2	24	0.33	1.36	0.4	0.15
12	7	9	6	4	2	28	0.27	1.17	0.32	0.14
13	7	9	4	2	2	24	0.32	1.34	0.37	0.16
14	5	9	5	2	2	23	0.32	1.34	0.36	0.16
15	6	7	10	2	3	28	0.23	1.52	0.29	0.15
16	6	5	6	3	2	22	0.37	1.93	0.46	0.18
17	6	2	6	1	2	17	0.41	1.55	0.45	0.17
18	5	7	8	4	2	26	0.24	1.27	0.26	0.16
19	7	5	8	3	2	25	0.3	1.13	0.3	0.16
20	7	6	8	5	3	29	0.23	1.14	0.25	0.14

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6]Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right


9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 866(IR:300, SQ:302, MR:115, LR:139, IC:10) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
6	6	6	7	1	26	1	5.0
2	4	3	1	2	12	2	10.0
2	1	5	2	0	10	3	15.0
1	1	1	0	0	3	4	20.0
2	0	0	1	1	4	5	25.0
1	1	0	1	1	4	6	30.0
2	1	3	1	0	7	7	35.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	8	40.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	9	45.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	10	50.0
0	0	2	0	0	2	11	55.0
0	1	1	1	1	4	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	14	70.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	15	75.0
0	1	0	0	1	2	16	80.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	17	85.0
2	0	1	0	0	3	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
2	0	0	0	0	2	20	100.0

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6] Number of models with violations


9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,884)	1:375:A:GLU:HA	1:375:A:GLU:HG3	20	0.17	0.02	0.17
(1,885)	1:375:A:GLU:HA	1:375:A:GLU:HG2	20	0.15	0.01	0.15
(1,932)	1:377:A:ARG:HH21	1:373:A:CYS:HB3	18	1.01	0.33	1.1
(1,932)	1:377:A:ARG:HH21	1:373:A:CYS:HB2	18	1.01	0.33	1.1
(1,80)	1:316:A:LYS:HA	1:316:A:LYS:HG3	18	0.18	0.0	0.18
(1,81)	1:316:A:LYS:HA	1:316:A:LYS:HG2	18	0.16	0.0	0.16
(1,934)	1:377:A:ARG:HH21	1:376:A:CYS:HB2	17	0.91	0.38	0.92
(1,934)	1:377:A:ARG:HH11	1:376:A:CYS:HB2	17	0.91	0.38	0.92
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HG21	16	1.3	0.26	1.29
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HG22	16	1.3	0.26	1.29
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE2	1:355:A:ILE:HG23	16	1.3	0.26	1.29
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE2	1:355:A:ILE:HG21	16	1.3	0.26	1.29
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HD13	16	1.3	0.26	1.29
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HG23	16	1.3	0.26	1.29
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE2	1:355:A:ILE:HD12	16	1.3	0.26	1.29
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HD11	16	1.3	0.26	1.29

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE2	1:355:A:ILE:HD13	16	1.3	0.26	1.29
(1,36)	2:384:B:ARG:HB2	1:348:A:GLU:HB2	16	0.18	0.06	0.18
(1,36)	2:384:B:ARG:HB2	1:348:A:GLU:HB3	16	0.18	0.06	0.18
(1,36)	2:384:B:ARG:HB3	1:348:A:GLU:HB2	16	0.18	0.06	0.18
(1,36)	2:384:B:ARG:HB3	1:348:A:GLU:HB3	16	0.18	0.06	0.18
(1,933)	1:377:A:ARG:HH11	1:375:A:GLU:H	15	0.97	0.15	0.98
(1,933)	1:377:A:ARG:HH21	1:375:A:GLU:H	15	0.97	0.15	0.98
(1,556)	1:351:A:MET:H	1:350:A:ASP:HA	14	0.13	0.02	0.13

[1]Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HD11	16	1.93
(1,932)	1:377:A:ARG:HH21	1:373:A:CYS:HB3	10	1.76
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HG21	17	1.55
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HD11	15	1.52
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HG21	3	1.44
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HG21	8	1.42
(1,934)	1:377:A:ARG:HH21	1:376:A:CYS:HB2	8	1.36
(1,659)	1:356:A:TYR:HE1	1:355:A:ILE:HG22	11	1.36
(1,934)	1:377:A:ARG:HH21	1:376:A:CYS:HB2	2	1.35
(1,934)	1:377:A:ARG:HH21	1:376:A:CYS:HB2	14	1.34

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
PHI	24	50.0	10	41.7	20.8	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	24	50.0	11	45.8	22.9	1	4.2	2.1
Total	48	100.0	21	43.8	43.8	1	2.1	2.1

[1] percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations




Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	PHI	PSI	Total				
1	3	2	5	1.38	1.65	0.2	1.31
2	2	3	5	1.55	1.89	0.28	1.43
3	3	3	6	1.12	1.24	0.08	1.14
4	4	4	8	1.83	3.35	0.81	1.5
5	4	6	10	2.02	3.26	0.59	2.16
6	3	6	9	1.67	2.88	0.6	1.39
7	4	5	9	1.45	3.19	0.63	1.27
8	3	4	7	2.34	3.83	0.69	2.22
9	1	2	3	2.26	3.23	0.77	2.22
10	2	5	7	2.47	4.04	1.0	2.31
11	2	4	6	1.75	3.71	0.9	1.48
12	3	4	7	1.67	2.88	0.61	1.38
13	3	5	8	2.07	4.33	1.06	1.62
14	3	6	9	1.25	1.68	0.19	1.24
15	3	5	8	1.52	2.04	0.26	1.52
16	4	3	7	1.87	2.84	0.62	1.8
17	1	4	5	2.4	3.97	0.88	2.37
18	4	5	9	1.98	3.36	0.82	1.71
19	2	6	8	1.44	2.2	0.35	1.34
20	2	2	4	1.85	2.91	0.63	1.56

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count[1]	%
2	2	4	1	5.0
1	1	2	2	10.0
1	0	1	3	15.0
1	0	1	4	20.0
1	3	4	5	25.0
1	1	2	6	30.0
0	0	0	7	35.0
1	0	1	8	40.0
0	1	1	9	45.0
1	0	1	10	50.0
0	1	1	11	55.0


Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count[1]	%
0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	15	75.0
1	0	1	16	80.0
0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	18	90.0
0	1	1	19	95.0
0	1	1	20	100.0

[1] Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models[1]	Mean	SD[2]	Median
(1,48)	1:377:A:ARG:N	1:377:A:ARG:CA	1:377:A:ARG:C	1:378:A:ASN:N	20	1.38	0.27	1.37
(1,26)	1:352:A:ALA:N	1:352:A:ALA:CA	1:352:A:ALA:C	1:353:A:PHE:N	19	2.57	0.88	2.7
(1,29)	1:353:A:PHE:C	1:354:A:HIS:N	1:354:A:HIS:CA	1:354:A:HIS:C	16	1.57	0.44	1.42
(1,36)	1:365:A:VAL:N	1:365:A:VAL:CA	1:365:A:VAL:C	1:366:A:PRO:N	11	1.81	0.79	1.48
(1,5)	1:324:A:ARG:C	1:325:A:LEU:N	1:325:A:LEU:CA	1:325:A:LEU:C	10	1.74	0.36	1.74
(1,30)	1:354:A:HIS:N	1:354:A:HIS:CA	1:354:A:HIS:C	1:355:A:ILE:N	9	2.57	1.12	2.39
(1,31)	1:354:A:HIS:C	1:355:A:ILE:N	1:355:A:ILE:CA	1:355:A:ILE:C	8	2.13	0.76	2.08
(1,10)	1:341:A:ASP:N	1:341:A:ASP:CA	1:341:A:ASP:C	1:342:A:LYS:N	6	1.88	0.66	1.93
(1,33)	1:357:A:CYS:C	1:358:A:LEU:N	1:358:A:LEU:CA	1:358:A:LEU:C	6	1.25	0.24	1.2
(1,4)	1:319:A:LYS:N	1:319:A:LYS:CA	1:319:A:LYS:C	1:320:A:ASP:N	5	1.74	0.48	1.72

[1] Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,30)	1:354:A:HIS:N	1:354:A:HIS:CA	1:354:A:HIS:C	1:355:A:ILE:N	13	4.33
(1,30)	1:354:A:HIS:N	1:354:A:HIS:CA	1:354:A:HIS:C	1:355:A:ILE:N	10	4.04
(1,26)	1:352:A:ALA:N	1:352:A:ALA:CA	1:352:A:ALA:C	1:353:A:PHE:N	17	3.97
(1,26)	1:352:A:ALA:N	1:352:A:ALA:CA	1:352:A:ALA:C	1:353:A:PHE:N	8	3.83
(1,36)	1:365:A:VAL:N	1:365:A:VAL:CA	1:365:A:VAL:C	1:366:A:PRO:N	10	3.72
(1,26)	1:352:A:ALA:N	1:352:A:ALA:CA	1:352:A:ALA:C	1:353:A:PHE:N	11	3.71
(1,30)	1:354:A:HIS:N	1:354:A:HIS:CA	1:354:A:HIS:C	1:355:A:ILE:N	18	3.36
(1,26)	1:352:A:ALA:N	1:352:A:ALA:CA	1:352:A:ALA:C	1:353:A:PHE:N	4	3.35
(1,26)	1:352:A:ALA:N	1:352:A:ALA:CA	1:352:A:ALA:C	1:353:A:PHE:N	5	3.26
(1,26)	1:352:A:ALA:N	1:352:A:ALA:CA	1:352:A:ALA:C	1:353:A:PHE:N	9	3.23